




Skydance Defendants and the qualifications of Defendants’ counsel — the subject of the second and third requests on Sibanda’s list and the subject of much of his letter, *see* ECF No. 142 — are not issues before the Court. Indeed, Sibanda’s unfounded attempt to “harass Lin and to seek her disqualification as counsel for the Skydance Defendants” formed part of the basis upon which the Court imposed sanctions. *See* ECF No. 130, at 11-12. And Sibanda has not offered anything nearing adequate justification for his remaining demands. The billing records submitted in support of Defendants’ fee application are attached to the sworn declaration of Lin, *see* ECF No. 141 & exhibits, and Defendants represent that they “have redacted these billing records to the extent that the time entries are unrelated to the Rule 11 Motions” and “have not included any fees associated with the redacted entries,” ECF No. 140, at 2 n.2.

Sibanda shall file any opposition to Defendants’ fee application **no later than September 27, 2024**. To be clear, to the extent that he disputes the reasonableness of Defendants’ application in his letter seeking discovery, Sibanda must restate any such disputes in his opposition — having considered and rejected Sibanda’s request, the Court will disregard Sibanda’s filings at ECF Nos. 142 & 143 in ruling on Defendants’ fee application.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2024  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JESSE M. FURMAN  
United States District Judge